

---

(

---

:

.

.

.

.

:

---

»

«

«

»

«

»

.( )

\_\_\_\_\_ |

»:                   »:                   « »  
»:                   .«  
.(                   )«

.(                   )

(                   )

:

(                   )

|  
-----

( )

« »

...

« »

« »

« »

.(Sarasevic and Kantor 1997)

)

.(Green 1995)

(



.( )

.-

( ( )

( :

.( ) « » « » « » :

:

( )

\_\_\_\_\_

« »

.(Salton and Lesk 1968)

.( )

:



(Salton 1971)


( )

« » « »

« »

\_\_\_\_\_

《 》 (1989) 《 》 (1982) 《 》



(1992)

« » (1977) « »

(1993)

.(Robins 1997)

(1994) « »

-

:

...

|

---

)

(

(Sarasevic 1996)

« » (1994) « »

(1996) « »

« » « »

\_\_\_\_\_ |

-

-

« » .

( )

-

« »

( )

-

« »

« »

« » .

« » .

\_\_\_\_\_

(Sarasevic 1996)

« »

...

« »

-

« »

« - - »

( )

-

-

.

.



( « » )

( ) ( )

\_\_\_\_\_

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...



« »

:

.

:

(

)

( )

)

(

:

۱. حريري، نجلا. ۱۳۸۳. ربط در مدل سنتي و مدل هاي تعاملی بازيابی اطلاعات. *مجله اطلاع‌شناسي* ۲(۱): ۱۱۳-۹۱.
۲. حسن‌زاده، محمد. ۱۳۸۳. تأثیر مدل‌هاي بازيابی اطلاعات بر میزان ربط. *مجله اطلاع‌شناسي* ۲(۱): ۸۹-۶۴.
۳. فهيم‌نيا، فاطمه. ۱۳۸۳. بررسی اجمالي مزایا و معایب مدل‌هاي بازخورد ربط. *مجله اطلاع‌شناسي* ۲(۱): ۱۱۵-۱۲۶.
4. Bates, M. 1989. The design of browsing and berry picking techniques for the online search interface. *Online review* 13(5): 407-427. <http://www.gseis/Ucla.Edu/faculty/bates/berrypicking.html> (accessed August 2006).
5. Belkin, N. J. 1982. Models of dialouge for information retrieval. In *Proceeding of the 4<sup>th</sup> informational research forum in information Science*, ed. I. Ffriberg, 15-36. Boras, Sweden: Skifter fran Hogskolan I Boras.
6. Belkin, N. J., C. Cool, W. B. Croft, J. P. Callan. 1993. The effect of multiple query representations on information retrieval systems performance. In *Proceedings of the 16th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval*, 339-346. Pittsburgh, PA.
7. Green, Rebecca. 1995. Topical relecance relationships: why topic matching fails. *The American society for information science* 46(9): 646-653.

- 
8. Ingwersen, P. 1992. *Information retrieval interaction*. London: Taylor Graham. P. x, 246. <http://www.db.dk/pi/iri> (accessed August 2006).
  9. Ingwersen, P. 1996. Cognitive perspectives of information retrieval interaction. *Journal of documentations* 52(1): 3-50.
  10. Oddy, R. N. 1977. Information retrieval through man-machine dialogue. *Journal of documentation* 33(1): 1-14.
  11. Robins, David. 1997. Shifts of focus in information retrieval interaction. In Proceedings of the ASIS annual meeting, 34(123-134). <http://www.asis.org/annual-97/shifts.html> (accessed August 2006).
  12. Salton, G. 1971. *The SMART retrieval system: experiments, in automatic document processing*. Prentice-Hall.
  13. Salton, G., and M. E. Lesk. 1968. Computer evaluation of indexing and text processing. *Journal of ACM* 15(1): 1-36.
  14. Sarasevic, Tefko. 1996. Modeling interaction in information retrieval (IR): a review and proposal. In *Proceedings of the American society for information science* 33: 3-9.
  15. Sarasevic, T. and P. Kantor. 1997. Studying the value of library and information services. Part I. Establishing a theoretical framework. *Journal of the American society for information science* 48(6): 527-542.
  16. Storrs, G. 1994. A conceptualization of multiparty interaction. *Interacting with computers* 6(2): 173-189.

1. Boolean Model
2. Vector Model
3. Probabilistic Model
4. Cognitive Model
5. Episodic Model
6. Stratified Model
7. Traditional Model
8. System Oriented
9. Robertson & Hancock-Beaulieu
10. Belkin
11. Bates
12. Ingwersen
13. Oddy
14. Expert Systems
15. Storrs
16. Participants
17. Dialog
18. Anomalous State of Knowledge(ASK)

---

19. Acquisition- Cognition- Application(A-C-A)

mamar83\_kh@yahoo.com :

(