

---

( :

---

:

« »

:

---

»

.(Levy 1997) «



( )

[ ]

(Healy 1990;

(1994) « » .Birkerts 1994)

: (1991) « »

| ...

---

)

(Landow 1992; Lanham 1993; Murray 1997; Ross 2003)

(1995) « »

:

( )

: (1996) « »

[...]

« »



(2003) « ».

(2003) « »

( )

(2002) « ».

« ».

(2003)

( )

(1998) « »

( ) ( )

| ...

---

( )

.(Sellen and Harper 1997)

(1997) « ».

: (1994) « »

(1994) « »



( )

(Olsen 1994)

: (1996) « »

[ ]

« » (1994) « »

(2003)

( )

...  
\_\_\_\_\_

)

(

( )

« »

:

( )

( )

.....



.  
. .  
. .  
. .  
. .  
. .

«            » «            » «            »  
          » «            » «            »            «            »  
«            » «            »            «            »            «            »  
» «            » «            »            »            «            »

«

.  
.

.  
.

| ...

---

« »

.( )

---

% /	% /
% /	
% /	% /
% /	% /
%	%

---

(Almind and Ingwersen 1997)

---

( )

« »

/

(Darnton 1989)

( )

| ...

---

---

	% /	% /	% /
% /	% /	% /	% /
% /	% /	% /	% /
% /	% /	% /	% /
% /	% /	% /	% /
% /	% /	% /	% /
% /	% /	% /	% /
%	% /	% /	% /

---

» :

.«

: (1996) « »

:

/

»

( - )

«

---

(Berkenkotter and Huckin 1993)

(Goldsborough 2000)

» :

«

» (2000) « »  
» «  
«.

« » » :

«.

( )

(Liu 2003)

(1997) « »

(Levy 1997)

(Topping 1997)

) ( )

( ) -

(1994) « » .

[ ]

(1995) « »

(2001) « » « »

»

«

« »

( )

»:

«

( )

(2001) « » « ».

:

« ».

(1994)

»

«

/

(Griffiths and King 1993)

« »

---

% /

% /

% /

% /

% /

% /

% /

%

---

| ...

---

« » « »

---

---

% /	% /
% /	% /
% /	% /
% /	
%	%

---

(McKnight

(1997) « » « » .1997)

»

» (1997) « » .«

. . . |

---

.«

---

---

% /
% /
% /
%

---

. -

« »

« »

« »

»

»

.«

(2006) « » .«

»

.«

| ...

---

.(Manguel 1996)

(1985) « ».

---

---

% /
% /
% /
%

---

( )  
)

(

( )

---

(Hart and Liu 2003; Liu and

.Stork 2000; Sellen and Harper 1997)

1. Adler, A., A. Gujar, B. Harrison, K. O'Hara, and A. J. Sellen. 1998. A diary study of work-related reading: design implication for digital reading devices. In *Proceedings of CHI'98 Conference*, 241-248. Los Angeles, CA.

- 
2. Almind, T. C. and P. Ingwersen. 1997. Informetric analyses on the world wide web: methodological approaches to webometrics. *Journal of Documentation* 53(4): 404-26.
3. Berkenkotter, C. and T. N. Huckin. 1993. Rethinking genre from a sociocognitive Perspective. *Written Communication* 10(4): 475-509.
4. Birkerts, S. 1994. *The Gutenberg Elegies: The Fate of Reading in an Electronic Age*. Faber and Faber, Boston, MA.
5. Bolter, J. D. 1991. *Writing Spaces: The Computer, Hypertext, and the History of Writing*. Hillsdale, NJ.: Laurence Erlbaum Associates.
6. Darnton, R. 1989. Towards a history of reading. *Wilson Quarterly* 13(4):87-102.
7. Eveland, W. P. and S. Dunwoody. 2001. User control and structural isomorphism or disorientation and cognitive load? Learning from the web versus print. *Communication Research* 28(1): 48-78.
8. Goldsborough, R. 2000. Text demands respect on the web. *Advertising Age* 71(32): 44.
9. Gordon, M. D. 1997. It's 10 a.m. Do you know where your documents are? The nature and scope of information retrieval problem in business. *Information Processing & Management* 33(1): 107-21.
10. Griffiths, J. and D. W. King. 1993. *Special libraries: increasing the information edge*. Washington, DC: Special libraries association.
11. Hart, P. E. and Z. Liu. 2003. Trust in the preservation of digital information. *Communications of the ACM* 46(6): 93-7.
12. Hartzell, G. 2002. Paper lion. *School Library Journal* 48(9): 37.
13. Healy, J.M. 1990. *Endangered Minds: Why Our Children Don't Think*. New York, NY: Simon and Schuster.
14. Knulst, W. P., G. Kraaykamp, G., van den Broek, A. and de Haan, J. (1996), Reading habits: 50 years of research on reading and threats to reading: cultural foundations. [www.scp.nl/boeken/studies/studie23/uk/samenvatting.htm](http://www.scp.nl/boeken/studies/studie23/uk/samenvatting.htm) (accessed August 1, 2004).
15. Landow, G. P. 1992. *Hypertext: The Convergence of Technology and Contemporary Critical Theory*. Baltimore, MD.: Johns Hopkins University Press.
16. Lanham, R. A. 1993. *The Electronic Word: Technology, Democracy, and the Arts*. Chicago, IL.: University of Chicago Press.
17. Lanham, R. A. 1995. Digital literacy. *Scientific American* 273(3): 198-200.
18. Levy, D. M. 1997. I read the news today, oh boy: reading and attention in digital Libraries. In *Proceedings of the 2nd ACM International Conference on Digital Libraries*, 202-11. Philadelphia, PA.
19. Liu, Z. 2003. Trends in transforming scholarly communication and their implications. *Information Processing & Management* 39(6): 889-98.
20. Liu, Z. 2006. Print vs. electronic resources: a study of user perceptions, preferences and use. *Information Processing and Management* 42(2): 583-92.

- 
21. Liu, Z. and D. Stork. 2000. Is paperless really more? Rethinking the role of paper in the digital age. *Communications of the ACM* 43(11): 94-7.
  22. McKnight, C. 1997. Electronic journals: what do users think of them? *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Research, Development and Practice in Digital Libraries*. Tsukuba: University of library and information science.
  23. Manguel, A. 1996. *A History of Reading*. New York, NY: Viking.
  24. Marshall, C. C. 1997. Annotation: from paper books to the digital library. *Proceedings of the 2nd ACM International Conference on Digital Libraries*, 131-40. Philadelphia, PA.
  25. Miall, D. S. and T. Dobson. 2001. Reading hypertext and the experience of literature. *Journal of Digital Information* 2(1). <http://jodi.ecs.soton.ac.uk/Articles/v02/i01/> (accessed August 1, 2004)
  26. Michie, D. 1996. Scan, annotate and pass on... *New Scientist* 149(2013): 48-9.
  27. Murray, J. H. 1997. *Hamlet on the Holodeck: The Future of Narrative in Cyberspace*. Boston, MA: MIT Press.
  28. Murphy, P. K., J. F. Long, T. A. Holleran, and E. Esterly. 2003. Persuasion online or on paper: a new take on an old issue. *Learning and Instruction* 13: 511-32.
  29. Nunberg, G. 1994. The places of books in the age of electronic production. In *Future Libraries*, ed. R. H. Bloch and C. Hesse, 13. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
  30. O'Hara, K. and A. Sellen. 1997. A comparison of reading paper and on-line documents. In *Proceedings of CHI'97 Conference*, 335-42. Atlanta, GA.
  31. Olsen, J. 1994. *Electronic Journal Literature: Implications for Scholars*. London: Mecklermedia.
  32. Poynter Institute. 2000. Eye-tracking study conducted by the Poynter Institute and Stanford University. [www.poynter.org/eyetrack2000/Changes in reading behavior 711](http://www.poynter.org/eyetrack2000/Changes%20in%20reading%20behavior%20711) (accessed August 1, 2004).
  33. Radway, J. 1994. Beyond Mary Bailey and old maid librarians: reimagining readers and rethinking reading. *Journal of Education for Library and Information Science* 35(4): 275-96.
  34. Ramirez, E. 2003. The impact of the internet on the reading practices of a university community: the case of UNAM. In *Proceedings of the 69th IFLA General Conference and Council*. [www.ifla.org/IV/ifla69/papers/019e-Ramirez.pdf](http://www.ifla.org/IV/ifla69/papers/019e-Ramirez.pdf) (accessed August 1, 2004).
  35. Ross, C. S. 2003. Reading in a digital age. [www.camls.org/ce/ross.pdf](http://www.camls.org/ce/ross.pdf) (accessed August 1, 2004).
  36. Sellen, A. and R. Harper. 1997. Paper as an analytic resource for the design of new technologies. In *Proceedings of CHI'97 Conference*. Atlanta, GA, [www.rsrc.xerox.com/publis/com-trs/html/epc-1997-102.htm](http://www.rsrc.xerox.com/publis/com-trs/html/epc-1997-102.htm) (accessed August 1, 2004).

- 
- ...
37. Sellen, A. and R. Harper. 2002. *The Myth of the Paperless Office*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
  38. Stoll, C. 1995. *Silicon Snake Oil: Second Thoughts on the Information Highway*. New York, NY.: Doubleday.
  39. Strassmann, P. A. 1985. *Information Payoff*. New York, NY: The Free Press.
  40. Topping, K. J. 1997. *Electronic literacy in school and home: a look into the Future*. Newark, DE.: International Reading Association, [www.readingonline.org/international/future/](http://www.readingonline.org/international/future/) (accessed August 1, 2004).

1. Ziming Liu. 2005. Reading behavior in the digital environment; Changes in reading behavior over the past ten years. *Journal of documentation* 61(6): 700-712.
2. Birkerts
3. Bolter
4. Lanham
5. Knulst
6. Control Panel
7. Ross
8. Ramirez
9. Hartzell
10. Murphy
11. Adler
12. IMP
13. McKnight
14. Nunberg
15. Olsen
16. Manguel
17. codex
18. Radway
19. San Jose State University
20. Michie
21. Poynter Institute
22. Gordon
23. hyper-reading
24. Stoll
25. Miall
26. Dobson
27. Eveland
28. Dunwoody
29. O'Hara
30. Sellen



- 31. Marshall
- 32. Liu
- 33. Strassmann

(

Rezarajab\_beglou@yahoo.com :